

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/656,017	09/07/2000	Louis F Aprigliano	82627	2288	
7:	590 11/05/2002				
Office of Counsel Code 004			EXAMINER		
Naval Surface Warfare Center Carderock Division 9500 MacArthur Boulevard West Bethesda, MD 20817-5700			LIN, KU	LIN, KUANG Y	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1725	24	
		DATE MAILED: 11/05/2002			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Traden & Commerce

Address: ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

Washington, D.C. 20231

1.D

APPLICATION NO.

FILING DATE

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR /
PATENT IN REEXAMINATION

EXAMINER

ART UNIT

PAPER

24

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Applicants filed a request to reopen prosecution, dated October 17, 2002. In the request applicants stated that during prosecution of the application, none of the Office actions either explicitly refers to, or comments on the extent or degree to which yield strength is increased, to which claims 5 and 6 and 9 are limited. However, Applicants' attention are directed to page 4, paragraph 6 of the Office action dated July 30, 2002, wherein the examiner stated that "by using the technique of Combs for atomizing the Ni-Cr alloy of either Nakamori et al, Shaw or JP 63-33,594 and depositing the same on a substrate suface, the grain size of the deposited alloy is small than that of an as cast Ni-Cr alloy. Thus, the ductility and the strength of the atomized and deposited Ni-Cr alloy will be higher than that of the conventional as cast Ni-Cr alloy". Since the ductility and the yield strength of the as cast Ni-Cr alloy is less than 25% tensile elongation and 145 ksi, respectively, the Office action does comment on the claimed limitation. Accordingly, the request to reopen the prosection is denied.

KUANG Y. LIN EXAMINER

17720